

PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH

Petition No. 40 of 2024
Date of Hearing: 23.10.2024
Date of Order: 24.10.2024

Petition under Regulation 47 of Supply Code 2014 and Regulation 8(4) of Chapter II of the Conduct of Business Regulations 2005 for revision of Standard Cost Data.

And

In the matter of: Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, The Mall,
Patiala-147001.
...Petitioner

Commission: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson
Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

PSPCL: Ms. Harmohan Kaur, CE&ARR/TR
Sh. D.S Toor/Dy.CE
Sh. Harjeet Singh, ASE/TR-5
Sh. Sukhchain Singh, Addl/SE
Sh. Satinder Singh, Addl/SE
Sh. Sameer Khurana, Addl/SE

ORDER

The petition was taken up for hearing as well as public hearing. Nobody appeared from the public in the public hearing. In response to the public notice objection/suggestion has been received from Sh. Satish Marwah. PSPCL shall submit its reply/comments to the objection within one week. PSPCL has submitted additional documents vide memo no. 205 dated 12.09.2024. PSPCL is further directed to submit the following information within two weeks:-

1. In the cost data of 33/66 kV line bay and sub-stations (Annexure-D), Rs.195 lakh has been shown as cost of land and building. Necessary supporting documents may be supplied.
2. While calculating normative cost of 11 kV lines (Annexure-N), the average line length has been considered as 5 km. The basis for the same may be furnished.
3. While calculating the normative cost of 11 kV lines (Annexure-N), 150 mm² service cable has been considered to feed a maximum of 150 kVA demand. Reasons for not considering 35 mm² cable may be furnished.

4. In the cost of 11 kV lines/cables (annexure F), Erection charges for XLPE cables have been shown as Rs. 56718/-. The copy of the instruction vide which these charges have been circulated to the field offices for preparation of estimates may be supplied.
5. While calculating the normative cost of 66 kV lines (Annexure-O) the cost of 66 kV single circuit line on single circuit towers has been calculated but the same has not been considered while calculating the normative cost. The reasons thereof may be explained. Secondly, 90% of the cost of 66 kV single circuit line on double circuit towers has been considered for calculating the cost of single circuit line, the criteria adopted for the same may be explained. Thirdly, average length of 66 kV line has been considered as 5 km for calculating the normative cost of 66 kV lines, the basis for the same may be explained.
6. In the cost data of 11 kV lines, the transportation, contingency and T&P charges have been considered as 6% whereas as per the estimates approved by the various offices of PSPCL, such charges are considered as 5%. The same may be clarified.
7. In the cost data for 66 kV lines (Annexure-A), labour charges including contingency and storage have been considered @ 20%. Secondly, under the column "other charges" a lumpsum amount of Rs. 4.02 lakh have been considered. Necessary justification may be provided.
8. In the cost data for 66 kV line bay, cost of civil works has been considered as Rs. 6 lakh and that of earthing material as Rs. 1.2 lakh. The justification for the same be provided.

The petition shall be taken up for hearing on 14.11.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
(Paramjeet Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Viswajeet Khanna)
Chairperson

Chandigarh
Dated: **24.10.2024**